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- Today: let's do better than linear regret!
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## Notes from last lecture

1. $\operatorname{Regret}_{T}=T \mu^{\star}-\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mu_{a_{t}}=\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}$
2. Recall $\operatorname{Regret}_{T}=\Omega(T)$, i.e., linear regret $\left(\mu^{\star}-\mu_{a_{t}}\right)$ Expected regret at time $t$

$$
\Rightarrow \text { for some } c>0 \text { and } T_{0}, \quad \text { Regret }_{T} \geq c T \quad \forall T \geq T_{0}
$$

3. Why is linear regret bad? $\Rightarrow$ average regret $:=\frac{\operatorname{Regret~}_{T}}{T} \nrightarrow 0$
4. Hoeffding inequality: sample mean of $N$ i.i.d. samples on $[0,1]$ satisfies

$$
|\hat{\mu}-\mu| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\ln (2 / \delta)}{2 N}} \text { w/p } 1-\delta
$$

## Explore-Then-Commit (ETC)

## $N_{\mathrm{e}}=$ Number of explorations

Algorithm hyper parameter $N_{\mathrm{e}}<T / K$ (we assume $T \gg K$ )
For $k=1, \ldots, K: \quad$ (Exploration phase)
Pull arm $k N_{\mathrm{e}}$ times to observe $\left\{r_{i}^{(k)}\right\}_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{e}}} \sim \nu_{k}$
Calculate arm k's empirical mean: $\hat{\mu}_{k}=\frac{1}{N_{\mathrm{e}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{e}}} r_{i}^{(k)}$
For $t=N_{\mathrm{e}} K, \ldots,(T-1)$ : (Exploitation phase)
Pull the best empirical arm $a_{t}=\arg \max \hat{\mu}_{i}$ $i \in[K]$

## Regret Analysis Strategy

1. Calculate regret during exploration stage
2. Quantify error of arm mean estimates at end of exploration stage
3. Using step 2, calculate regret during exploitation stage (Actually, will only be able to upper-bound total regret in steps 1-3)
4. Minimize our upper-bound over $N_{\mathrm{e}}$
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$$
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$$
\Rightarrow \text { total regret during exploitation } \leq T \sqrt{2 \ln (2 K / \delta) / N_{\mathrm{e}}} \quad \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{p} 1-\delta
$$
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## Regret Analysis of ETC (cont'd)

4. From steps 1-3: with probability $1-\delta$,

$$
\text { Regret }_{T} \leq N_{\mathrm{e}} K+T \sqrt{2 \ln (2 K / \delta) / N_{\mathrm{e}}}
$$

What's a choice of $N_{\mathrm{e}}$ that gives sublinear regret? Any $N_{\mathrm{e}}$ so that $N_{\mathrm{e}} \rightarrow \infty$ and $N_{\mathrm{e}} / T \rightarrow 0$ (e.g., $N_{\mathrm{e}}=\sqrt{T}$ )

Minimize over $N_{\mathrm{e}}$ :

$$
\text { optimal } N_{\mathrm{e}}=\left(\frac{T \sqrt{\ln (2 K / \delta) / 2}}{K}\right)^{2 / 3}
$$

(A bit more algebra to plug optimal $N_{\mathrm{e}}$ into $\operatorname{Regret}_{T}$ equation above)

$$
\Rightarrow \text { Regret }_{T} \leq 3 T^{2 / 3}(K \ln (2 K / \delta) / 2)^{1 / 3}=o(T)
$$
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## $\varepsilon$-greedy

ETC very abrupt (huge difference between exploration and exploitation stages)
$\varepsilon$-greedy like a smoother version of ETC:
at every step, do pure greedy w/p $1-\varepsilon$, and do pure exploration $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{p} \varepsilon$
Initialize $\hat{\mu}_{0}=\cdots=\hat{\mu}_{K}=1$
For $t=0, \ldots, T-1$ :
Sample $E_{t} \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}(\varepsilon)$
If $E_{t}=1$, choose $a_{t} \sim \operatorname{Uniform}(1, \ldots, K) \quad$ (pure explore)
If $E_{t}=0$, choose $a_{t}=\arg \max _{k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}} \hat{\mu}_{k}$
(pure exploit)
Update $\hat{\mu}_{a_{t}}$
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## $\varepsilon$-greedy (cont'd)

Can also allow $\varepsilon$ to depend on $t$; should it increase, decrease, or stay flat? The more learned by time $t$, the less exploration needed at/after time $t$
It turns out that $\varepsilon$-greedy with $\varepsilon_{t}=\left(\frac{K \ln (t)}{t}\right)^{1 / 3}$ also achieves

$$
\operatorname{Regret}_{t}=\tilde{O}\left(t^{2 / 3} K^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{O}(\cdot)$ hides logarithmic factors

- Regret rate (ignoring log factors) is the same as ETC, but holds for all $t$, not just the full time horizon $T$
- Nothing in $\varepsilon$-greedy (including $\varepsilon_{t}$ above) depends on $T$, so don't need to know horizon!
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First: how to construct confidence intervals?
Recall Hoeffding inequality:
Sample mean of $N$ i.i.d. samples on [0,1] satisfies

$$
|\hat{\mu}-\mu| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\ln (2 / \delta)}{2 N}} \text { w/p } 1-\delta
$$

Worked for ETC b/c exploration phase was i.i.d., but in general the rewards from a given arm are not i.i.d. due to adaptivity of action selections
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$$

Then we can think of every time we pull arm $k$, just pulling the next $\tilde{r}_{i}^{(k)}$ off this list,

$$
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Now Hoeffding applies to $\tilde{\mu}_{n}^{(k)}$ because $n$ fixed/nonrandom
and we know $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}=\tilde{\mu}_{n}^{(k)}$ for some $n \leq t$ (but which one is random)
Can anyone suggest a strategy for getting a bound for $\left|\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right|$ ?
Recall union bound in ETC analysis made Hoeffding hold simultaneously over $k \leq K$
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\begin{gathered}
\text { Hoeffding + union bound over } n \leq t: \\
\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\forall n \leq t,\left|\tilde{\mu}_{n}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 n}\right) \geq 1-\delta
\end{gathered}
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But since in particular $N_{t}^{(k)} \leq t$, this immediately implies

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\tilde{\mu}_{N_{t}^{(k)}}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}\right) \geq 1-\delta
$$

And then since $\tilde{\mu}_{N_{t}^{(k)}}^{(k)}=\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}$, we immediately get the kind of result we want:
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## Constructing confidence intervals (cont'd)

Hoeffding + union bound over $n \leq t$ :

$$
\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\forall n \leq t,\left|\tilde{\mu}_{n}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 n}\right) \geq 1-\delta
$$

But since in particular $N_{t}^{(k)} \leq t$, this immediately implies

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\tilde{\mu}_{N_{t}^{(k)}}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}\right) \geq 1-\delta
$$

And then since $\tilde{\mu}_{N_{t}^{(k)}}^{(k)}=\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}$, we immediately get the kind of result we want:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}\right) \geq 1-\delta
$$

Summary: to deal with problem of non-i.i.d. rewards that enter into $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}$, we used rewards' conditional i.i.d. property along with a union bound to get Hoeffding bound that is wider by just a factor of $t$ in the log term
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So we have a valid $(1-\delta)$ confidence interval $(\mathrm{Cl})$ for $\mu^{(k)}$ at time $t$ from last equation:
$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}\right) \geq 1-\delta$,
i.e., $\left[\hat{\mu}^{(k)}-\sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N^{(k)}} \quad \hat{\mu}^{(k)}+\sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N^{(k)}}\right] \quad$ Valid for any bandit algorithm!

Of independent statistical interest for interpreting results
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So we have a valid $(1-\delta)$ confidence interval $(\mathrm{Cl})$ for $\mu^{(k)}$ at time $t$ from last equation:
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i.e., $\left[\hat{\mu}^{(k)}-\sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N^{(k)}}, \hat{\mu}^{(k)}+\sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N^{(k)}}\right] \quad$ Valid for any bandit algorithm! Of independent statistical interest for interpreting results

## But analysis easier if Cls are uniformly valid over time $t$ and arm $k$

By same argument as last two slides using a union bound over Hoeffding applied to all $\tilde{\mu}_{n}^{(k)}$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \leq T \text {, and noting that } N_{t}^{(k)} \leq T \text { for all } t<T \text {, we get: } \\
& \mathbb{P}\left(\forall t<T,\left|\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 T / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}\right) \geq 1-\delta
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## Uniform confidence intervals

So we have a valid $(1-\delta)$ confidence interval $(\mathrm{Cl})$ for $\mu^{(k)}$ at time $t$ from last equation:

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}\right) \geq 1-\delta
$$

$$
\text { i.e., }\left[\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}-\sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}, \hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}+\sqrt{\ln (2 t / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}\right]
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Valid for any bandit algorithm!
Of independent statistical interest for interpreting results

## But analysis easier if Cls are uniformly valid over time $t$ and arm $k$

By same argument as last two slides using a union bound over Hoeffding applied to all $\tilde{\mu}_{n}^{(k)}$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \leq T \text {, and noting that } N_{t}^{(k)} \leq T \text { for all } t<T \text {, we get: } \\
& \mathbb{P}\left(\forall t<T,\left|\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right| \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 T / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}\right) \geq 1-\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

By same argument made in ETC analysis, union bound over $K$ makes coverage uniform over $k$ :

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\forall k \leq K, t<T,\left|\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}-\mu^{(k)}\right|_{22} \leq \sqrt{\ln (2 T K / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}\right) \geq 1-\delta
$$

## Today
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- Recap
- Regret analysis of ETC
- $\varepsilon$-greedy algorithm
- Confidence intervals for the arms
- Upper Confidence Bound (UCB) algorithm
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For $t=0, \ldots, T-1$ :
Choose the arm with the highest upper confidence bound, i.e.,
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$$
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\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(1)}+\sqrt{\ln (2 T K / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(1)}}
$$

$$
\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(2)}+\sqrt{\ln (2 T K / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(2)}}
$$
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\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(3)}+\sqrt{\ln (2 T K / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(3)}}
$$



## Upper Confidence Bound (UCB) algorithm
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Choose the arm with the highest upper confidence bound, i.e.,
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## UCB Intuition: optimism in the face of uncertainty

Optimism in the face of uncertainty is an important principle in RL It basically says to give each arm the benefit of the doubt, and basically act as if that arm is as good as it could plausibly be in choosing an action
In UCB, this means constructing a CI (i.e., set of plausible values) for each $\mu^{(k)}$, and being greedy with respect to the upper bound of the Cls
Since each upper bound is $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}+\sqrt{\ln (2 K T / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}$, this means when we select $a_{t}=k$, at least one of the two terms is large, i.e., either

1. $\sqrt{\ln (2 K T / \delta) / 2 N_{t}^{(k)}}$ large, i.e., we haven't explored arm $k$ much (exploration)
2. $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}$ large, i.e., based on what we've seen so far, arm $k$ is the best (exploitation) Note that the exploration here is adaptive, i.e., focused on most promising arms

## Today

- Feedback from last lecture
- Recap
- Regret analysis of ETC
- $\varepsilon$-greedy algorithm
- Confidence intervals for the arms
- Upper Confidence Bound (UCB) algorithm


## Summary:

- ETC and $\varepsilon$-greedy, achieve sublinear regret $\tilde{O}\left(T^{2 / 3}\right)$
- Hoeffding can be used to provide (uniform) bounds on the arm means - UCB algorithm follows "optimism in the face of uncertainty" principle


## Attendance:

 bit.ly/3RcTC9T

Feedback:
bit.ly/3RHt|xy


