

Policy Gradient Methods: Estimation

Lucas Janson and Sham Kakade

CS/Stat 184: Introduction to Reinforcement Learning

Fall 2023

Today



- Recap
 - Estimation: REINFORCE
 - Variance Reduction
 - Other Gradient Expressions
 - Baselines and Advantages
- Examples

Recap

Optimization Objective

- Consider a parameterize class of policies:

$$\{\pi_{\theta}(a | s) | \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$$

(why do we make it stochastic?)

- Objective $\max_{\theta} J(\theta)$, where

$$J(\theta) := E_{s_0 \sim \mu} [V^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_0)] = E_{\tau \sim \rho_{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} r(s_h, a_h) \right]$$

- Policy Gradient Descent:

$$\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k + \eta \nabla J(\theta^k)$$

Example Policy Parameterizations

Recall that we consider parameterized policy $\pi_\theta(\cdot | s) \in \Delta(A), \forall s$

1. Softmax linear Policy

Feature vector $\phi(s, a, h) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and
parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\pi_\theta(a | s, h) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a, h))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a', h))}$$

2. Neural Policy:

Neural network
 $f_\theta : S \times A \times [H] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\pi_\theta(a | s, h) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a, h))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a', h))}$$

Neural Policy Parameterization for “Controls”



Suppose $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$, as it might be for a control problem.

3. Gaussian + Linear Model

- Feature vector: $\phi(s, h) \in \mathbb{R}^d$,
- Parameters: $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$,
(and maybe $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$)
- Policy: sample action from a (multivariate) Normal with mean $\theta \cdot \phi(s, h)$ and variance $\sigma^2 I$, i.e.
$$\pi_{\theta, \sigma}(\cdot | s, h) = \mathcal{N}(\theta \cdot \phi(s, h), \sigma^2 I)$$

4. Gaussian + Neural Model

- Neural network $g_{\theta} : S \times [H] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^k$
- Parameters: $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$,
(and maybe $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$)
- Policy: a (multivariate) Normal with mean $g_{\theta}(s)$ and variance $\sigma^2 I$, i.e.
$$\pi_{\theta, \sigma}(\cdot | s, h) = \mathcal{N}(g_{\theta}(s, h), \sigma^2 I)$$

The Likelihood Ratio Method

- Suppose $J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_\theta} [f(x)] = \sum_x P_\theta(x) f(x)$, and our objective is $\max_{\theta} J(\theta)$.
- Computing $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ exactly may be difficult to compute (due to the sum over x).
 - Can we estimate $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$?
 - Suppose we can: compute $f(x)$, $P_\theta(x)$, and $\nabla P_\theta(x)$ & sample $x \sim P_\theta$
- We have that:

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_\theta(x)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log P_\theta(x) f(x)]$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) &= \sum_x \nabla_{\theta} P_\theta(x) f(x) \\ &= \sum_x P_\theta(x) \frac{\nabla_{\theta} P_\theta(x)}{P_\theta(x)} f(x) \\ &= \sum_x P_\theta(x) \nabla_{\theta} \log P_\theta(x) f(x) \end{aligned}$$

The Likelihood Ratio Method, continued

- We have:

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_{\theta}(x)} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log P_{\theta}(x) f(x) \right]$$

- An unbiased estimate is given by:

$$\widehat{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta) = \nabla_{\theta} \log P_{\theta}(x) \cdot f(x), \text{ where } x \sim P_{\theta}$$

- We can lower variance by draw N i.i.d. samples from P_{θ} and averaging:

$$\widehat{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_{\theta} \log P_{\theta}(x_i) f(x_i)$$

Today:

Today

- Recap
- ✓ • Estimation: REINFORCE
- Variance Reduction
 - Other Gradient Expressions
 - Baselines and Advantages
- Examples

REINFORCE: A Policy Gradient Algorithm

- Let $\rho_\theta(\tau)$ be the probability of a trajectory $\tau = \{s_0, a_0, s_1, a_1, \dots, s_{H-1}, a_{H-1}\}$, i.e.

$$\rho_\theta(\tau) = \mu(s_0)\pi_\theta(a_0 | s_0)P(s_1 | s_0, a_0)\dots P(s_{H-1} | s_{H-2}, a_{H-2})\pi_\theta(a_{H-1} | s_{H-1})$$

- Let $R(\tau)$ be the cumulative reward on trajectory τ , i.e. $R(\tau) := \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} r(s_h, a_h)$

- Our objective function is:

$$J(\theta) = E_{\tau \sim \rho_\theta} [R(\tau)]$$

- The REINFORCE Policy Gradient expression:

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) := E_{\tau \sim \rho_\theta} \left[\left(\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a_h | s_h) \right) R(\tau) \right]$$

Proof

- From the likelihood ratio method, we have:

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) := \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_{\theta}} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \ln \rho_{\theta}(\tau) R(\tau) \right]$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \ln \rho_{\theta}(\tau) = \nabla_{\theta} (\ln \mu(s_0) + \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_0 | s_0) + \ln P(s_1 | s_0, a_0) + \dots)$$

$$= \nabla_{\theta} (\ln \pi_{\theta}(a_0 | s_0) + \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_1 | s_1) \dots)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) \right)$$

Obtaining an Unbiased Gradient Estimate at θ_0

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) := \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_{\theta}} \left[\left(\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) \right) R(\tau) \right]$$

1. Obtain a trajectory $\tau \sim \rho_{\theta_0}$
(which we can do in our learning setting)
2. Set:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta_0) := \left(\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla \ln \pi_{\theta_0}(a_h | s_h) \right) R(\tau)$$

We have: $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta_0)] = \nabla_{\theta} J(\pi_{\theta_0})$

PG with REINFORCE:

1. Initialize θ_0 , parameters: η^1, η^2, \dots

2. For $k = 0, \dots$:

1. Obtain a trajectory $\tau \sim \rho_{\theta^k}$

$$\text{Set } \widetilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta^k) = \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla \ln \pi_{\theta^k}(a_h | s_h) R(\tau)$$

2. Update: $\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k + \eta^k \widetilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta^k)$

The (mini-batch) PG procedure with REINFORCE

(reducing variance using batch sizes of M)

1. Initialize θ_0 , parameters: η^1, η^2, \dots

2. For $k = 0, \dots$:

1. Init $g = 0$ and do M times:

Obtain a trajectory $\tau \sim \rho_{\theta^k}$

Update: $g \leftarrow g + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla \ln \pi_{\theta^k}(a_h | s_h) R(\tau)$

2. Set $\widetilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta^k) := \frac{1}{M} g$

3. Update: $\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k + \eta^k \widetilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta^k)$

We still have: $\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta^k)] = \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta^k)$

Today

- Recap
- Estimation: REINFORCE
- ✓ • Variance Reduction
 - Other Gradient Expressions
 - Baselines and Advantages
- Examples

Other PG formulas (that are lower variance for sampling)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla J(\theta) &= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_\theta} \left[\left(\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a_h | s_h) \right) R(\tau) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_\theta} \left[\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \left(\nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a_h | s_h) \sum_{t=h}^{H-1} r_t \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_\theta} \left[\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a_h | s_h) Q_h^{\pi_\theta}(s_h, a_h) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Intuition: Change action distribution at h only affects rewards later on...

HW: You will show these simplified version are also valid PG expressions

An improved PG procedure:

1. Initialize θ_0 , parameters: η_1, η_2, \dots

2. For $k = 0, \dots$:

1. Obtain a trajectory $\tau \sim \rho_{\theta^k}$

$$\text{Set } \widetilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta^k) = \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \left(\nabla \ln \pi_{\theta^k}(a_h | s_h) R_h(\tau) \right)$$

2. Update: $\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k + \eta^k \widetilde{\nabla}_{\theta} J(\theta^k)$

On a trajectory τ , define:

$$R_h(\tau) = \sum_{t=h}^{H-1} r_t$$

Comments:

- We still have unbiased gradient estimates.
- Easy to use a mini-batch algorithm to reduce variance.
- Easy to compute the gradient in “one pass” over the data.

Today

- Recap
- Estimation: REINFORCE
- Variance Reduction
 - Other Gradient Expressions
- ✓ • Baselines and Advantages
- Examples

With a “baseline” function:

For any function only of the state, $b_h : S \rightarrow R$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla J(\theta) &= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_\theta} \left[\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) \left(Q_h^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_h, a_h) - b_h(s_h) \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_\theta} \left[\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) \left(\sum_{t=h}^{H-1} r_t - b_h(s_h) \right) \right]\end{aligned}$$

This is (basically) the method of control variates.

Proof:

- By the tower property of conditional expectations,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla J(\theta) &= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_\theta} \left[\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a_h | s_h) Q_h^{\pi_\theta}(s_h, a_h) \right] \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \mathbb{E}_{s_h \sim \rho_\theta} \left[\mathbb{E}_{a_h \sim \pi(\cdot | s_h)} \left[\nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a_h | s_h) Q_h^{\pi_\theta}(s_h, a_h) \mid s_h \right] \right]\end{aligned}$$

(where $s_h \sim \rho_\theta$ is a sample from the marginal state distribution at time h)

- To see this, first note:

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_\theta} \left[\nabla \log P_\theta(x) c \right] =$$

- The claim follows due to that for any constant c ,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_\theta} \left[\nabla \log P_\theta(x) (f(x) - c) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P_\theta} \left[\nabla \log P_\theta(x) f(x) \right]$$

(M=1) PG with a Naive (constant) Baseline:

- Let try to use a constant (time-dependent) baseline:

$$b_h^\theta = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_\theta(\tau)} E [R_h(\tau)]$$

1. Initialize θ_0 , parameters: η_1, η_2, \dots
2. For $k = 0, \dots$:
 1. Sample M trajectories, τ_1, \dots, τ_M under π_{θ^k} . Set:

$$\tilde{b}_h = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M R_h(\tau_i)$$

2. Obtain a trajectory $\tau \sim \rho_{\theta^k}$

$$\text{Set } \tilde{\nabla}_\theta J(\theta^k) = \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla \ln \pi_{\theta^k}(a_h | s_h) (R_h(\tau) - \tilde{b}_h)$$

3. Update: $\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k + \eta^k \tilde{\nabla}_\theta J(\theta^k)$

The Advantage Function (finite horizon)

$$V_h^\pi(s) = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{\tau=h}^{H-1} r(s_\tau, a_\tau) \mid s_h = s \right] \quad Q_h^\pi(s, a) = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{\tau=h}^{H-1} r(s_\tau, a_\tau) \mid (s_h, a_h) = (s, a) \right]$$

- The Advantage function is defined as:

$$A_h^\pi(s, a) = Q_h^\pi(s, a) - V_h^\pi(s)$$

- We have that:

$$E_{a \sim \pi(\cdot | s)} [A_h^\pi(s, a) \mid s, h] = \sum_a \pi(a | s) A_h^\pi(s, a) = ??$$

- What do we know about $A_h^{\pi^*}(s, a)$?

- For the **discounted case**, $A^\pi(s, a) = Q^\pi(s, a) - V^\pi(s)$

The Advantage-based PG:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla J(\theta) &= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) \left(Q_h^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_h, a_h) - b_h(s_h) \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho_{\theta}(\tau)} \left[\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) A_h^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_h, a_h) \right]\end{aligned}$$

- The second step follows by choosing $b_h(s) = V_h^{\pi}(s)$.
- In practice, the most common approach is to use $b_h(s)$ as an estimate of $V_h^{\pi}(s)$.

Summary:

1. REINFORCE (a direct application of the likelihood ratio method)
2. Variance Reduction: with baselines

Attendance:

bit.ly/3RcTC9T



Feedback:

bit.ly/3RHtlxy

